н		
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.	
2	Attorney General of the State of California DIANN SOKOLOFF, State Bar No. 161082	
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
4	1515 Clay Street, 20 <sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 70550	
5	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2212	
6	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9		
10	STATE OF CALIFOR	NIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2007-43
12	MARCELINO DAVID CONTRERAS	DEFAULT DECISION
13	660 Starfish Drive Vallejo, CA 94591	AND ORDER
14	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 36983	[Gov. Code, §11520]
15		
16	Respondent.	
17	FINDINGS OF FACT	
18	1. On or about September 11, 2007, Complainant Carol Sigmann, in her	
19	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of	
20	Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. AC-2007-43 against Marcelino David Contreras	
21	(Respondent) before the California Board of Accountancy.	
22	2. On or about January 28, 1983, the California Board of Accountancy	
23	(Board) issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 36983 to Respondent. The	
24	Certified Public Accountant Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	
25	charges brought herein and expired on August 31, 2007, and has not been renewed.	
26	3. On or about September 28, 2007, Ryan M. Mallard, an employee of the	
27	Department of Justice, served by Certified and First Class Mail a copy of Accusation	
28	No. AC-2007-43, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and	

Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7 to Respondent's address of record with the Board, which was and is 660 Starfish Drive, Vallejo, CA 94591. A copy of the Accusation and Declaration of Service are attached as Exhibit A, and are incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
  - 5. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency, in its discretion, may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 6. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. AC-2007-43.
- 7. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:

  "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 8. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the evidence before it, finds that the allegations in Accusation No. AC-2007-43 are true.
- 9. The total costs for investigation and enforcement are \$2,412.25 as of November 13, 2007.

### **DETERMINATION OF ISSUES**

- Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Marcelino David
   Contreras has subjected his Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 36983 to discipline.
  - 2. Service of Accusation No. AC-2007-43 and related documents was proper

28

The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default. The California Board of Accountancy is authorized to revoke Respondent's Certified Public Accountant Certificate based upon the conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a CPA under Business and Professions Code sections 490 and 5100(a), to wit: the crime of embezzlement by a public officer; and the failure to report a reportable event under Business and Professions Code section 5063(a)(1), to wit: Respondent's failure to report his embezzlement conviction, as alleged in the Accusation. IT IS SO ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 36983, heretofore issued to Respondent, MARCELINO DAVID CONTRERAS, is revoked. Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the This Decision shall become effective on February 24, 2008 It is so ORDERED January 25, 2008 Attachments: Exhibit A (Accusation No. AC-2007-43 and Declaration of Service)

1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	of the State of California WILBERT E. BENNETT		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DIANN SOKOLOFF, State Bar No. 161082		
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
5	1515 Clay Street, 20 <sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 70550		
6	Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2212		
7	Facsimile: (510) 622-2270		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
, 9			
10			
11	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC-2007-43	
13	MARCELINO DAVID CONTRERAS	ACCUSATION	
14	660 Starfish Drive Vallejo, CA 94591	ACCUSATION	
15	Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 36983		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Carol Sigmann (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her officia		
21	capacity as the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consume		
22	Affairs.		
23	2. On or about January 28, 1983, the California Board of Accountancy issue		
24	Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number CPA 36983 to Marcelino David Contrera		
25	(Respondent). The Certified Public Accountant Certificate was in full force and effect at all times		
26	relevant to the charges brought herein and expired on August 31, 2007, and has not been renewed		
27			
28	///		

16<sub>.</sub>

# **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 5109 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during a period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
  - 5. Section 490 of the Code states:

"A board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued. A conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Any action which a board is permitted to take following the establishment of a conviction may be taken when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal, or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code."

6. Section 5100 states:

"After notice and hearing the board may revoke, suspend, or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted under Article 4 (commencing with Section 5070) and Article 5 (commencing with Section 5080), or may censure the holder of that permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

- "(a) Conviction of any crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant or a public accountant."
  - 7. Section 5106 states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof. The board may order the certificate or permit suspended or revoked,

or may decline to issue a certificate or permit, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made, suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty or dismissing the accusation, information or indictment."

8. Section 5107(a) of the Code states:

"The executive officer of the board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found to have committed a violation or violations of this chapter to pay to the board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees. The board shall not recover costs incurred at the administrative hearing."

- 9. Section 5063(a)(1) of the Code requires a licensee to report in writing to the Board within 30 days all felony convictions and convictions of any crime related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or to acts or activities in the course and scope of the practice of public accountancy; or involving theft, embezzlement, misappropriation of funds or property, breach of a fiduciary responsibility, or the preparation, publication, or dissemination of false, fraudulent, or materially misleading financial statements, reports or information.
- 10. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, Section 99 (Board Rule 99), provides that a crime or act is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a CPA if, to a substantial degree, it evidences present or potential unfitness to perform the functions authorized by the licensee's certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare, including but not limited to, those crimes involving fiscal dishonesty, or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind.

#### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of a Crime)

11. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Sections 490 and 5100(a) in that on June 28, 2006, in a criminal proceeding entitled *People of the State of California* v. *Marcelino David Contreras*, in San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. 2221339,

respondent was convicted by guilty plea of a felony violation of Penal Code Section 424 (Embezzlement by Public Officer). At all times relevant to the conviction, respondent held an active California CPA license.

- 12. <u>Criminal Charges</u>. In *People of the State of California v. Marcelino David Contreras*, Respondent was originally charged with one count of embezzlement by a public officer in violation of Penal Code Section 424 (Count 1) and one count of embezzling property by public/private officer in violation of Penal Code Section 504 (Count 2).
  - 13. <u>Plea Agreement</u>. Respondent pled guilty to Count 1.
- 14. <u>Sentence</u>. Respondent was sentenced to probation for five years wherein he was ordered to serve a term of one year in county jail (served through home detention) and to pay criminal monetary penalties of \$200 and restitution of \$20,000.
- 15. Incorporating by reference the allegations in paragraphs 11 through 14, above, Respondent's Certified Public Accountant certificate is subject to discipline under Code Sections 490 and 5100(a) in that the felony conviction is a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a CPA within the meaning of Board Rule 99. Respondent pled guilty to embezzlement, under circumstances involving his misappropriation of public moneys for personal use, which constitutes a crime substantially related to the practice of public accountancy, in that his duties to receive, hold, transfer and distribute public moneys were directly related to his duties as a certified public accountant and to his fitness to practice.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Report a Reportable Event)

16. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under Section 5063(a)(1) in that on June 28, 2006, Respondent was convicted of felony embezzlement but he did not report the conviction to the Board. The Board received notice of Respondent's conviction through a third party, via the Department of Justice's "Subsequent Arrest Notification" related to the Board's fingerprint submission for the licensee's application. Respondent's Certified Public Accountant certificate is subject to discipline under Code Section 5063(a)(1) in that he failed to report his conviction to the Board.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

- Revoking, suspending or otherwise imposing discipline on Certified Public
   Accountant Certificate Number CPA 36983, issued to Marcelino David Contreras;
- 2. Ordering Marcelino David Contreras to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 5107;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED September 11,2007

CAROL SIGMANN

Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

90068831.wpd 19 RMM; 9/5/07

03541110-SF2007401359